# **2023 SENATE TRANSPORTATION**

SB 2120

# 2023 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

## **Finance and Taxation Committee**

Fort Totten Room, State Capitol

SB 2120 1/6/2023

A bill relating to overweight vehicle limitations; and to declare an emergency.

10:28 AM Chairman Clemens called the meeting to order. Senators Clemens, Conley, Larsen, Paulson, and Rummel were present.

#### **Discussion Topics:**

- Overweight vehicles
- Highway regulations
- Overweight fees

10:28 AM **Tom Iverson, Chief of Operations for the ND Highway Patrol**, introduced the bill and provided testimony. #12456

10:38 AM Wade Swenson, Director of Operations for ND Department of Transportation, provided verbal testimony in favor.

10:42 AM **Senator Conley** moved a Do Pass on SB 2120. Senator Paulson seconded the motion.

Senators	Vote
Senator David A. Clemens	Y
Senator Cole Conley	Y
Senator Doug Larsen	Y
Senator Bob Paulson	Y
Senator Dean Rummel	Y

Motion passed. 5-0-0

Senator Paulson will carry SB 2120.

10:44 AM Senator Clemens closed the hearing.

Danielle Butler, Committee Clerk

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE SB 2120: Transportation Committee (Sen. Clemens, Chairman) recommends DO PASS (5 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). SB 2120 was placed on the Eleventh order on the calendar. This bill does not affect workforce development.

### **2023 HOUSE TRANSPORTATION**

SB 2120

# **2023 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES**

## **Transportation Committee**

Room JW327E, State Capitol

SB 2120 3/2/2023

A bill relating to overweight vehicle limitations and to declare an emergency.

Chairman Ruby opened the hearing at 2:00PM.

**Members present:** Chairman Ruby, Vice Chairman Grueneich, Representatives Anderson, Christensen, Dyk, Frelich, Hauck, Murphy, Timmons, Wagner, Weisz, Dakane, Dobervich. Members absent: Representative Koppelman.

## **Discussion Topics:**

- Overweight vehicles on the highways
- Overload fees on all highways

**Tom Iverson, Chief of Operations for the North Dakota Highway Patrol**, introduced the bill in support (#21739).

Brad Darr, State Maintenance Engineer with the North Dakota Department of Transportation, verbally testified in support.

Chairman D. Ruby closed the hearing at 2:11PM.

Representative Murphy moved a Do Pass.

Representative Timmons seconded the motion.

#### Roll call vote:

Representatives	Vote
Representative Dan Ruby	Y
Representative Jim Grueneich	Y
Representative Karen A. Anderson	Y
Representative Cole Christensen	Y
Representative Hamida Dakane	Y
Representative Gretchen Dobervich	Y
Representative Scott Dyk	Y
Representative Kathy Frelich	Y
Representative Dori Hauck	Y
Representative Ben Koppelman	AB
Representative Eric James Murphy	Y
Representative Kelby Timmons	Y
Representative Scott Wagner	Y
Representative Robin Weisz	Y

House Transportation Committee SB 2120 March 2, 2023 Page 2

# Motion carried 13-0-1

# Representative Timmons is the bill carrier.

Meeting adjourned at 2:14PM.

Mary Brucker, Committee Clerk

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE SB 2120: Transportation Committee (Rep. D. Ruby, Chairman) recommends DO PASS (13 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 1 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). SB 2120 was placed on the Fourteenth order on the calendar.

TESTIMONY

SB 2120



Be Legendary.

68<sup>th</sup> Legislative Assembly Testimony in **Support** of Senate Bill No. 2120

**Senate Transportation Committee** January 06, 2023

#### **TESTIMONY OF** Major Tom Iverson, Chief of Operations

Good morning Chairman Clemens and members of the committee. My name is Tom Iverson and I serve as the chief of operations for the North Dakota Highway Patrol. I am here to provide testimony in support of Senate Bill 2120.

This bill amends NDCC 39-12-11, 39-12-17, and 39-12-22 pertaining to overweight vehicles. A local North Dakota states attorney had recently shared a concern with NDCC 39-12-17 related to overload fees. It was pointed out that this section of law references 39-12-03, addressing spring load restrictions, and 39-12-05, addressing overweight vehicles on the interstate system, but it does not mention 39-12-05.3, addressing overweight vehicles on highways other than the interstate system. Consequently, it is her interpretation that overload fees may not be assessed on highways other than the interstate system. I am confident that this was merely an oversight as 39-12-17 refers to "highways, streets, or roads of this state". In addition, 39-12-14.1 states, "The extraordinary road use fees for a violation on an interstate or on a state highway must be deposited with the state treasurer to be credited to the state highway fund. Extraordinary road use fees for a violation that did not occur on an interstate or a state highway must be deposited in the general fund of the jurisdiction having authority over the road on which the violation occurred..."

Adding 39-12-05.3 which is located on line 18 of the bill addresses the concern and makes it clear that an overload fee may be assessed on a highway other than the interstate system.

While working with the states attorney, we decided that it would be best to insert 39-12-05.3, addressing overweight vehicles on highways other than the interstate system within 39-12-11 as well as 39-12-22. Doing so clears up any confusion and makes it clear that these sections of law apply to all highways, streets, or roads within the state.

This concludes my testimony. I am happy to answer any questions you may have.



Be Legendary.

68<sup>th</sup> Legislative Assembly Testimony in **Support** of Senate Bill No. 2120

**House Transportation Committee** March 2, 2023

#### **TESTIMONY OF**

#### Major Tom Iverson, Chief of Operations

Good afternoon Chairman Ruby and members of the committee. My name is Tom Iverson and I serve as the chief of operations for the North Dakota Highway Patrol. I am here to provide testimony in support of Senate Bill 2120.

This bill amends NDCC 39-12-11, 39-12-17, and 39-12-22 pertaining to overweight vehicles. A local North Dakota states attorney had recently shared a concern with NDCC 39-12-17 related to overload fees. It was pointed out that this section of law references 39-12-03, addressing spring load restrictions, and 39-12-05, addressing overweight vehicles on the interstate system, but it does not mention 39-12-05.3, addressing overweight vehicles on highways other than the interstate system. Consequently, it is her interpretation that overload fees may not be assessed on highways other than the interstate system. I am confident that this was merely an oversight as 39-12-17 refers to "highways, streets, or roads of this state". In addition, 39-12-14.1 states, "The extraordinary road use fees for a violation on an interstate or on a state highway must be deposited with the state treasurer to be credited to the state highway fund. Extraordinary road use fees for a violation that did not occur on an interstate or a state highway must be deposited in the general fund of the jurisdiction having authority over the road on which the violation occurred..."

Adding 39-12-05.3 which is located on line 18 of the bill addresses the concern and makes it clear that an overload fee may be assessed on a highway other than the interstate system.

While working with the states attorney, we decided that it would be best to insert 39-12-05.3, addressing overweight vehicles on highways other than the interstate system within 39-12-11 as well as 39-12-22. Doing so clears up any confusion and makes it clear that these sections of law apply to all highways, streets, or roads within the state.

This concludes my testimony. I am happy to answer any questions you may have.