

MICROFILM DIVIDER

OMB/RECORDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION

SFN 2053 (2/85) 5M



ROLL NUMBER

DESCRIPTION

2175

2001 SENATE APPROPRIATIONS

SB 2175

2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. SB2175

Senate Appropriations Committee

Conference Committee

Hearing Date January 22, 2001

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
Tape #1	x		50.6 - 54.8
Tape #1		x	0.0 - 4.8
Committee Clerk Signature <i>[Signature]</i>			

Minutes:

Senator Nething opened the hearing on SB2175.

Senator Gary Nelson, representing District #22, serving as Chair of the Appropriations Committee, testified in support of SB2175.

The only change proposed in this bill, is that each member of the legislative assembly of the state of ND is entitled to receive as compensation for services the sum of one hundred twenty-five (was \$111).

SB2176 changes are that the members of the council and the members of any committee of the council are entitled to be compensated for the time spent in attendance at sessions of the council and of its committees at the rate of \$100 per day (was \$75). He stands in support of both SB2175 and SB2176.

Senator Holmberg: Effective date: July 1, 2001?

Senator Gary Nelson: Yes.

Page 2

Senate Appropriations Committee

Bill/Resolution Number SB2175

Hearing Date January 22, 2001

Senator Andrist: Why change?

Senator Nething: The Legislative Compensation Commission Report distributed earlier in the session has history detail.

Senator Gary Nelson: Cost of living index plays a role here. Had the same methodology been used for the legislative assembly as used for the state employees 3%/2% it would have been higher.

Joe Morrissette, Legislative Council analyst: provided neutral testimony (a copy of which is attached). Charles Axtman, Chair of the Legislative Compensation Commission was unable to attend today's hearing due to previous commitments.

Senator Nething: The appendix you provided, right, last column --interim daily compensation, if comparable raise to state employees would be \$108? \$125 if used same as state employees would be \$156 closer to \$157?

Joe Morrissette: Correct.

Senator Nething: Monthly no change? Still behind inflation; behind state employees

Joe Morrissette: No change.

No additional testimony on SB2175; hearing closed by Senator Nething.

Page 3
Senate Appropriations Committee
Bill/Resolution Number SB2175
Hearing Date January 22, 2001

February 15, 2001 Full Committee (Tape 1, Side A, Meter No. Second of four bill actions from 0.0 -54.1)

Senator Nething reopened the hearing on SB2175.

Senator Nething, Chair of the Legislative Subcommittee, presented amendments (10104.0101); and explained the proposed changes.

Senator Schobinger moved adoption of the amendments; Senator Lindors seconded; one opposed (who did not wish to be recorded).

Further discussion.

Senator Solberg moved DO PASS AS AMENDED; seconded by Senator Holmberg. Roll Call

Votes: 13 yes; 1 no; 0 absent.

Senator Nething will take the floor assignment.

FISCAL NOTE

Requested by Legislative Council

2/20/2001

Bill/Resolution No.:

Amendment to: SB 2175

1A. State fiscal effect: *Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.*

	1999-2001 Biennium		2001-2003 Biennium		2003-2005 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues						
Expenditures	\$239,867		\$265,852		\$250,344	
Appropriations					\$250,344	

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: *Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.*

1999-2001 Biennium			2001-2003 Biennium			2003-2005 Biennium		
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts

2. Narrative: *Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.*

The engrossed bill increases legislators' compensation by \$14 per calendar day, from \$111 to \$125 per day during legislative sessions, effective January 1, 2001.

3. State fiscal effect detail: *For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:*

A. Revenues: *Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.*

B. Expenditures: *Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.*

The amounts shown reflect the funding necessary to provide this increase for 147 legislators for the regular session during the 1999-2001 biennium, for the redistricting, organizational, and regular session during the 2001-03 biennium, and for the organizational and regular session during the 2003-05 biennium.

C. Appropriations: *Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.*

Although it is difficult to anticipate the length of the 2001 legislative session and its total costs, it appears that the appropriation available for the 1999-2001 biennium will be adequate to provide for the increase provided for in this bill relating to the 57th Legislative Assembly.

No amounts are shown for the 2001-03 biennium because the \$265,852 is included in the executive budget.

Name:	Jlm W. Smith	Agency:	Legislative Council
Phone Number:	328-2916	Date Prepared:	02/20/2001

FISCAL NOTE
 Requested by Legislative Council
 01/03/2001

Bill/Resolution No.: SB 2175

Amendment to:

1A. State fiscal effect: *Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.*

	1999-2001 Biennium		2001-2003 Biennium		2003-2005 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues						
Expenditures			\$265,852		\$250,344	
Appropriations					\$250,344	

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: *Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.*

1999-2001 Biennium			2001-2003 Biennium			2003-2005 Biennium		
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts

2. Narrative: *Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.*

This bill increases legislators' compensation by \$14 per calendar day, from \$111 to \$125 per day during legislative sessions.

3. State fiscal effect detail: *For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:*

A. Revenues: *Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.*

B. Expenditures: *Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.*

The amounts shown reflect the funding necessary to provide this increase for 147 legislators for the organizational session and regular session during the 2001-03 and 2003-05 bienniums. In addition, the 2001-03 biennium amount includes the funding necessary to provide this increase for the special redistricting session.

C. Appropriations: *Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.*

No amounts are shown for the 2001-03 biennium because the \$265,852 is included in the executive budget.

Name:	Jim W. Smith	Agency:	Legislative Council
Phone Number:	328-2916	Date Prepared:	01/08/2001

10104.0101
Title. . . 200

Prepared by the Legislative Council staff for
Senator Nething
February 8, 2001

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO SENATE BILL NO. 2175

Page 1, line 3, after "session" insert "; to provide for retroactive application; and to declare an emergency"

Page 2, after line 24, insert:

"SECTION 2. RETROACTIVE APPLICATION. This Act is retroactive in application to January 1, 2001.

SECTION 3. EMERGENCY. This Act is declared to be an emergency measure."

Renumber accordingly

Date: 2-15-01

Roll Call Vote #: 1

2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES
BILL/RESOLUTION NO. SB 2175

Senate Appropriations Committee

Subcommittee on _____
or
 Conference Committee

Legislative Council Amendment Number 10104-0101

Action Taken As per amendment

Motion Made By Sen Solberg Seconded By Sen Holmberg

Senators	Yes	No	Senators	Yes	No
Dave Nething, Chairman	✓				
Ken Solberg, Vice-Chairman	✓				
Randy A. Schobinger	✓				
Elroy N. Lindaas	✓				
Harvey Tallackson	✓				
Larry J. Robinson	✓				
Steven W. Tomac	✓				
Joel C. Heitkamp		✓			
Tony Grindberg	✓				
Russell T. Thane	✓				
Ed Kringstad	✓				
Ray Holmberg	✓				
Bill Bownan	✓				
John M. Andrist	✓				

Total Yes 13 No 1

Absent 0

Floor Assignment Senator Nething

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

SB 2175: Appropriations Committee (Sen. Nething, Chairman) recommends **AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS** and when so amended, recommends **DO PASS** (13 YEAS, 1 NAY, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). SB 2175 was placed on the Sixth order on the calendar.

Page 1, line 3, after "session" insert "; to provide for retroactive application; and to declare an emergency"

Page 2, after line 24, insert:

"SECTION 2. RETROACTIVE APPLICATION. This Act is retroactive in application to January 1, 2001.

SECTION 3. EMERGENCY. This Act is declared to be an emergency measure."

Renumber accordingly

2001 HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS

SB 2175

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. SB2175

House Appropriations Committee

Conference Committee

Hearing Date **March 13, 2001**

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
1	x		0 - 783
Committee Clerk Signature <i>L I Joubert</i>			

Minutes:

HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE HEARING ON SB2175.

Rep. Timm: Meeting was called to order and attendance roll call was taken. Hearing on SB2175 was opened.

Rep. Belter: I will address both bills SB2175 and SB2176. As state legislators I believe that it is imperative that in order to maintain a strong legislative branch of government that we compensate our legislators in a fair and equitable manner and therefore I ask you to support the proposed salary increases both for the session as well as the interim. In serving as a legislator it is very demanding and it takes a lot of time and I think if we want to maintain the quality of our legislative branch that we do need to compensate our people so that they can afford to serve the people of North Dakota and so I would certainly hope that you would give favorable recommendation to these increases and If there is any questions I certainly would be happy to respond.

Rep. Timm: Are there any questions for Rep. Belter?

Joe Morrissette, Legislative Council: (Presented handout to the committee) I served as the legislative staff person for the legislative compensation commission during the past interim so in that capacity I will explain the bill and give you some background that the legislative compensation commission considered in recommending them and try to answer any questions that you might have. SB2175 increases the compensation for each calendar day during legislative session from \$111 to \$125 and the bill as it was recommended by the compensation commission did not have a special clause providing for a retro active application as you see in the engrossed bill, that was added by the senate, and what the bill does now is it would make that pay increase retroactive to January 1 and also has an emergency clause that would make it effective as soon as it passes, so it would effect the compensation both during this session and subsequent special sessions this next interim and the future. The fiscal note indicates that the cost of that retroactive part of the application would be \$239, 867 which would be paid from the legislative assemblies appropriation authority for this biennium, the cost for next biennium is \$265, 852 and that amount is included in the executive budget recommendation. And the cost for the subsequent biennium 2003-2005 would be approximately \$250 thousand, the reason its slightly higher for the 2001 03 biennium is to provide for a special session for redistricting. I would refer you to the memorandum that I passed out, I apologize now for not having it 3 hole punched, I realized that when I saw someone grab the 3 hole punch. This is something the compensation commission considered when they made this recommendation, its a memorandum which they have examined during previous interim's in looking at the current rates of legislative compensation and what would those rates be had they been adjusted for inflation or for percentage pay raises provided to state employees. The first set of three column's with the

