

MICROFILM DIVIDER

OMB/RECORDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION

SFN 2053 (2/85) 5M



ROLL NUMBER

DESCRIPTION

2029

2001 SENATE APPROPRIATIONS

SB 2029

2001 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. SB2029

Senate Appropriations Committee

Conference Committee

Hearing Date January 17, 2001

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
Tape #3	x		50.4 - 54.4
Tape #3		x	0.0 - 9.5
Committee Clerk Signature <i>Janice Petask</i>			

Minutes:

Senator Nething opened the hearing on SB2029.

Joe Morrissette, Legislative Council Analyst, explained the intent and the fiscal note. The 900 thousand noted in the fiscal note is not in the executive fund forecast.

Senator Nething: Plus water?

Joe Morrissette: All

Linda Johnson, Director of School Health Programs, Department of Public Instruction, presented neutral testimony on SB2029 (a copy of her testimony is attached).

Senator Andrist: Your program -- the initiatives in the health department -- do we have a fractured focus? Is there a vision for all health school programs?

Linda Johnson: That's why Community funds make the decision -- all on same base, same page.

All research based -- all have common decision.

Page 2

Senate Appropriations Committee

Bill/Resolution Number SB2029

Hearing Date January 17, 2001

Representative Clara Sue Price, District #40, Minot, and Chair of the Interim Budget Committee on Health Care, reiterated information previously given by Joe Morrissette. The Interim Committee passed some recommendations to the health department, asking that they expand and put forward programs that the previous director had on healthy children. There is a need to conference, so many interested personnel in this area. The committee recommended 10% of the tobacco settlement remain in the health department trust. There is interest in clean water -- we have lots of pieces to put together.

Senator Bowman: The Interim Committee -- how do you balance different health issues -- water and smokers?

Representative Clara Sue Price: WE had a number of discussions; spent 2 years in the areas, in tracking 10% allocation, support for change, water issues, ED&F -- all coming now because of tobacco settlement. Public health is not cheap, and as it expands the cities and counties may seek property tax increased to support programs.

Senator Andrist: Committee -- part of the health department proposals?

Representative Clara Sue Price: Did not, we made recommendations.

Senator Andrist: Result of committee?

Representative Clara Sue Price: Concepts of disease control. Community support is necessary to keep program structure. Recommended the health department have a central depository of materials -- so that all schools and communities could access them free or at a reduced cost. Our committee visited with several individuals, seeking information regarding programs in other states that are working and are successful. Costs could be as little as \$1.50 for adults and \$1.00 per child across the state. We need to stay focused, use state as the experts --- there are areas that

Page 3
Senate Appropriations Committee
Bill/Resolution Number SB2029
Hearing Date January 17, 2001

have valuable data. We need to keep our programs going, need community support to be successful.

Senator Nething directed that the minutes reflect the testimony (with the individual's consent) given in SB2024 hearing be placed here in SB2029. Testimony by Don Flynn, Michael Dwyer, Dave Koland, Ken Rorse, Jane Herman, and Bruce Levi (copies are attached when written testimony given).

Hearing closed on SB2029.

Page 4

Senate Appropriations Committee

Bill/Resolution Number SB2029

Hearing Date ~~January 17, 2001~~

Full Committee Action February 6, 2001 (tape 1, side a. 23.4 - 32.1)

Senator Nething opened the hearing on SB2024.

Senator Andrist, Health Department Subcommittee Chair, spoke regarding SB2024, SB2028, and SB2029. The subcommittee felt the money best left in the general fund; moneys can be transferred from the general fund into the trust funds -- not the reversal.

Senator Andrist moved a DO NOT PASS; seconded by Senator Grindberg.

Discussion: Senator Tallackson: How much money is involved?

Senator Andrist: Not 5 million in fund; can't earn that much in 2 years.

Jim Smith, Legislative Council Analyst: 500 thousand here, rest in water trust.

Senator Andrist: Moneys out of general fund into trust funds -- wouldn't be able to transfer back ---best to keep dollars in the general fund.

Senator Robinson: Both governor's budgets recommended this, as the committee decided.

Senator Lindaas: Not into the individual funds?

Senator Andrist: Yes.

Senator Andrist moved a DO NOT PASS; seconded by Senator Grindberg. Roll Call Votes: 11 yes, 1 no, 2 absent and not voting. Motion carried. Senator Robinson accepted the floor assignment.

FISCAL NOTE

Requested by Legislative Council

01/11/2001

REVISION

Bill/Resolution No.: SB 2029

Amendment to:

1A. State fiscal effect: *Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.*

	1999-2001 Biennium		2001-2003 Biennium		2003-2005 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues			(\$900,000)	\$900,000	(\$500,000)	\$500,000
Expenditures						
Appropriations						

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: *Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.*

1999-2001 Biennium			2001-2003 Biennium			2003-2005 Biennium		
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts

2. Narrative: *Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.*

SB 2029 directs that interest earned on moneys in the Water Development Trust Fund (WDTF) be deposited in the Community Health Trust Fund. Interest earned in the WDTF is currently deposited in the General Fund. HB 1151 indicates that the interest earned should remain in the WDTF. Therefore, HB 1151 and SB 2029 are in conflict. The amount of interest earned is highly dependent on the rate of expenditures out of the WDTF. The Executive Recommendation assumes that the Water Commission's operations expenditures (\$10.1 million) would be taken from the WDTF. If the Water Commission's operations are funded from the WDTF, the estimate of interest earned is \$900,000. If the Water Commission's operations are funded from the General Fund, the estimate of interest earned is \$1.4 million.

3. State fiscal effect detail: *For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:*

A. Revenues: *Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.*

All calculations were based on a 5.5 percent average interest rate and assumes the WDTF would be drawn down to the \$3-\$5 million range before new bonds would be issued. Interest earned after July 1, 2003, would be less because of a lower average balance in the WDTF.

B. Expenditures: *Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.*

C. Appropriations: *Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.*

Name:	Dale Frink	Agency:	Water Commission
Phone Number:	326-4996	Date Prepared:	01/12/2001

FISCAL NOTE
 Requested by Legislative Council
 12/27/2000

REVISION

Bill/Resolution No.: SB 2029

Amendment to:

1A. State fiscal effect: *Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.*

	1999-2001 Biennium		2001-2003 Biennium		2003-2005 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues	\$0		(\$900,000)		(\$500,000)	
Expenditures						
Appropriations						

1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect: *Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.*

1999-2001 Biennium			2001-2003 Biennium			2003-2005 Biennium		
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Narrative: *Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.*

SB 2029 directs that interest earned on moneys in the Water Development Trust Fund (WDTF) be deposited in the Community Health Trust Fund. Interest earned in the WDTF is currently deposited in the General Fund. HB 1151 indicates that the interest earned should remain in the WDTF. Therefore, HB 1151 and SB 2029 are in conflict. The amount of interest earned is highly dependent on the rate of expenditures out of the WDTF. The Executive Recommendation assumes that the Water Commission's operations expenditures (\$10.1 million) would be taken from the WDTF. If the Water Commission's operations are funded from the WDTF, the estimate of interest earned is \$900,000. If the Water Commission's operations are funded from the General Fund, the estimate of interest earned is \$1.4 million.

3. State fiscal effect detail: *For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:*

A. Revenues: *Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.*

All calculations were based on a 5.5 percent average interest rate and assumes the WDTF would be drawn down to the \$3-\$5 million range before new bonds would be issued. Interest earned after July 1, 2003, would be less because of a lower average balance in the WDTF.

B. Expenditures: *Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.*

