MICROFILM DIVIDER

OMB/RECORDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION SFN 2053 (2/85) 5M



ROLL NUMBER

DESCRIPTION

1343

2001 HOUSE EDUCATION
HB 1343

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB1343

House Education Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 2-06-01

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter //	
2		XX	4502540	
	and the state of t			
	1	1 1 1 1 1		
Committee Clerk Signatu	re Cli	211666	ente la transportación de territorio de aparecer religir, a terra terra recola di accompressione en con recolar	

Minutes: <u>Chair Kelsch</u> opened the hearing on HB1343 relating to nonresident students attending elementary or high schools in this state.

Rep.Kerzman, Dist 35: (500) support this bill because of a problem in my school district. I want you to listen to both sides and decide.

Gary R. Thune, Attorney from Bismarck representing Hettinger School Dist,: support of HB1343. (SEE ATTACHED TESTIMONY)

Rep. Nelson: (950) Currently are SD schools are on a per pupil basis like we are?

Mr. Thune: I'm not familiar with how they fund their schools.

Rep. Nelson: If I understand you right, SD is not paying any SD dollars towards these students getting educated in ND, right?

Mr. Thune: (982) SD takes the position that they are not SD residence, and they pay nothing.

Rep. Nottestad: (1015) The moving in to a so called apartment has happened years ago. This is not uncommon in the state. How would you respond to the ones who live in ND by the SD border, that if this is passed, will hurt them?

Mr. Thune: This bill deals solely with out-of-state parents being permitted to pay tuition to ND. If the other state adopts similar legislation, then ND parents will have to decide whether they will want to pay tuition to send their kids to SD. What we have now, is SD students are being educated with ND tax dollars. This bill will allow SD parents to stay in SD with their families and pay tuition. This will foster keeping that family in SD.

Rep. Haas: (1205) You said there is no reciprocal agreement with SD, so what about those 45 students that go to the Lemmon School Dist.? Is that school district involved in your litigation?

Mr. Thune: The litigation is between Hettinger and the N.W. School Dist. in SD. I'm not involved with that.

Rep. Haas: If we pass this, do you see some form of retaliation between the Lemmon and Hettinger School Dist., and the Selfridge and Lemmon School Dist.? They had reciprocal agreements before that worked well.

Mr. Thune: (1315) My understanding is that the agreement with Lemmon is intact and acceptable, and there is no intention to change it.

Rep. Haas: (1358) Then it's the N.W. School Dist. that is being obstinate.

Mr. Thune: I'm not familiar with that litigation.

Sen. Krauter: here in support of HB1343.

Ellen Elder, Hettinger School Board: (1468) support this bill. We had members of our community that moved back to SD and they wanted their children to keep attending school in

Page 3
House Education Committee
Bill/Resolution Number HB1343
Hearing Date 2-06-01

Hettinger County. They used a delegation of Parental Powers. This was used for three years. We had more and more families trying to use the powers tool. The N.W. School Dist. asked for an opinion on that legal tool. It was determined that the tool wasn't properly applied. We then had parents whose children had attended school and they wanted to continue. They bought homes in Hettinger. We have a total of four families in Hettinger that have their elementary and junior high students attending our schools. Their older siblings remain in SD and the N.W. School Dist. pays those tuition's for those students. Now we are having a problem with the N.W. Dist. saying these people are not living in their homes in Hettinger. They refuse to pay tuition for those elementary students. They are assuming that the high school students are living in Hettinger, and they are withholding all the tuition for those high school students. This has created a real problem for us in Hettinger. It should have been addressed years ago. We have high legal bills trying to address residency issues. The two communities have a strained relationship now. Life long friendships are being broken, and this should not happen. It's unnecessary and unfortunate.

Rep. Nottestad: You say it is simple, just pass this. What do you say to those parents that say, please don't pass this? It may jeopardize our opportunity to go into SD.

Ellen: No one has contacted me with that concern.

Rep. Nottestad: Their concern is if SD changes their law. SD may change and then hurt them.

Arlene Walch, Hettinger School Board: in support of HB1343. The law needs more clarification. Wouldn't it make more sense for SD residence to pay for the education of their children rather than burdening the ND school district where their students attend? We want to allow those parents to pay for their tuition.

Page 4
House Education Committee
Bill/Resolution Number HB1343
Hearing Date 2-06-01

Tom Decker, DPI: (2060) opposed to HB1343. I sympathize with those parents from SD with the N.W. School Dist. We understand why those parents want to send their kids to Hettinger, because it is an excellent school district. This is the wrong solution. We need to continue to work at establishing district to district or state to state relationship across the border, or put in place reciprocal enrollment agreements where districts continue to maintain the responsibility for the education in district of residence. If we pass this, we will have no bargaining power.

Rep. Nelson: How many years has it been that SD has not had a reciprocal agreement with ND?

Mr. Decker: I think is was 4 years ago.

Rep. Nelson: That being said, it would look like we are moving farther away from a negotiated agreement with SD, then we were 4 years ago. What is the time line to come to an agreement? We need a solution.

Mr. Decker: We only have a problem with the one SD district, that being the N.W. District.

This bill is designed to enable the obstinate behavior of one SD school district.

Chair Kelsch: Any more testimony? Hearing none, HB1343 is closed.

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB1343 A

House Education Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 02/06/01

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #		
#3	X		3275 to 3791		
	Maganian middig strong had signifynn i san agrif o dyddiniai ar yr yn i diad y diol y				
	raposius. Natificant finalitys Millermos Haardings Millermos massacins as to othogochast posicio	, the de transference of the Print Will Marketing to be reported until and marketing are marketing are surrounded.	and providing the street of the original field of the original facilities and successful manufactures. And, all flowerings of quarters are provided that the street of the original facilities are provided to the original facilities and the original facilities are provided to the original facilities and the original facilities are provided to the original facilities		
Committee Clerk Signature Que Clerk Signature					

Minutes:

Chairman R. Kelsch, Vice-Chair T. Brusegaard, Rep. Bellew, Rep. Grumbo, Rep. Haas, Rep.

Hanson, Rep. Hawken, Rep. Hunskor, Rep. Johnson, Rep. Meier, Rep. Mueller, Rep. Nelson,

Rep. Nottestad, Rep. Solberg, Rep. Thoreson

Chairman Kelsch: We will now take up HB1343. What are the wishes of the committee.

Rep. Thoreson: I move a DO NOT PASS.

Rep. Solberg: Second.

Chairman Kelsch: Committee discussion.

The motion of DO NOT PASS passes with 14 YAY 0 Nay 1 ABSENT.

Floor assignment: Rep. Thoreson

FISCAL NOTE STATEMENT

House Bill or Resolution No. 1343

This bill or resolution appears to affect revenues, expenditures, or fiscal liability of counties, cities, or school districts. However, no state agency has primary responsibility for compiling and maintaining the information necessary for the proper preparation of a fiscal note regarding this bill or resolution. Pursuant to Joint Rule 502, this statement meets the fiscal note requirement.

John Walstad Code Revisor Date: 2/6/01
Roll Call Vote #: /

2001 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1343

House House Education					Committee	
Subcommittee on			enniaethaldyje-riaddowniyini-na qoy ghledhiradayiniyiniladayo-nal indo Nordh Yilliadh in 1944			
or Conference Committee						
Legislative Council Amendment Nur	nber _	چ فروند در این در این استان این این استان این این این این این این این این این ا			*********	
Action Taken Do Not	Pas	<u>, ç</u>	effective de la company de	······································	•	
Action Taken Do Not Motion Made By Rep. The	oreso	A_ Se	econded By Rep. Sol	berg		
Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No	
Chairman-RaeAnn G. Kelsch	X		Rep. Howard Grumbo	X.	<u> </u>	
V. Chairman-Thomas T. Brusegaard			Rep. Lyle Hanson	X		
Rep. Larry Bellew	と		Rep. Bob Hunskor	X		
Rep. C.B. Haas	メ		Rep. Phillip Mueller	X		
Rep. Kathy Hawken	X		Rep. Dorvan Solberg	X		
Rep. Dennis E. Johnson	X					
Rep. Lisa Meier	_X_					
Rep. Jon O. Nelson	X					
Rep.Darrell D. Nottestad	X					
Rep. Laurel Thoreson	×					
Total (Yes) Click here to type	Yes Vote	No	Click here to type No Vote			
Absent				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	
Floor Assignment Click here to typ	e Floor	Assign.	meni Rep. Thom	reso	h_	
f the vote is on an amendment, briefly	indicat	e intent	, ,			

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) February 6, 2001 4:54 p.m.

Module No: HR-21-2539 Carrier: L. Thoreson Insert LC: Title:

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1343: Education Committee (Rep. R. Kelsch, Chairman) recommends DO NOT PASS (14 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 1 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1343 was placed on the Eleventh order on the calendar.

2001 TESTIMONY HB 1343

2/6/01

Ellen Elder

Testimony on HB 1343 Madam Chairman and Committee Members

- -introduction
- -proponent of HB1343 that amends NDCC section 15-40.2-10
- -would like the statute to clearly state that parents of students in bordering states may pay tuition directly to a School District for the education of their child
- -some people have the view that this is not possible
- -there are parents who would choose this option if it were clearly available
- -The Hettinger School Board wants this option to be possible to our neighboring communities thereby alleviating the hardships that have occurred since parents have requested their children to go to school in Hettinger.
 - *delegation of parental powers, used for 3 school years starting 1996 found by DPI not correctly applied for school attendance
 - *have families splitting into two residencies, one in SD and one in ND
 - *Currently have lawsuit being heard in SD to decide definition of residency. We are still waiting for a decision, it has been 4 months.
 - *Mounting legal bills to address these issues
 - *Breakdown of neighboring community relationships

The Hettinger School Board wants to be able to collect tuition from parents of students in bordering state.

The Hettinger School District has all the difficulties that are challenging school districts all across ND and we welcome new students to our district.

Thank you for your consideration

Ellen Elder

President of Hettinger School Board

Cary Thune 1343 Testimony of Gang R. Thune on HB 1343 February 6, 2001 House Education Committee I appear on behalf of the Hettinger Public School District in support of HB 1343 As this Committee is well aware! North Datota Student , are permitted to attend public schools other than in their district of residence, in three ways:
15t - Open Envollment - Ch. 15-40.3; and - Tuition Paid, by School District of Residence under Sections 15-40.2-03 and 04; or Tuition pariel by the parent or quardian, I ia Sections 15-140.2-02 and 06, withe the parent or guardian having the right to apply to the school district of residence to pay that their and to appear that Decroisin under Section 15-40, 2-05.

This Bill is being proposed to provide a third of those to students from bordering states, namely to allow parents to pay tuition to North Datota schools. Currently, Section 15-40.2-10 permits

1) Reciprocal Master Agreements; agencies from bordering states; or 2) Negotiations by school districts of bordering states.

The position of the Asst Atty Genil assigned to the education partialio, and N.D.is Dept. of Public Instruction is that this Section (15.40.2.10)

Does Not permit collection from parents. Me It is silent on that point.

It is my understanding that DPI also bill I on the theory that I't will, let S.D. Schooldistricts off. the hook.

The present practice includes SP.

students attending is North Detote

w/o tuition payments from 5.0 school

or S.a. parents.

It involves 'split families' maintaining two ves idences and no tuitrois been paid to either school district Simply Stated, South Dubota students are being sourcated by North Dubota dollars parants are not parling truition for the students to differed North Dakota Schools; ruther, North Dakota's taxpayors are paying for that education because 1) S.D. has elected not to enter into a reciprocal 2) S.D. Schops are not paying truition; and 3) S.O. parents are not given the appropriate pay truition, under DPI's interpretation of Section 15-40.2-10; 50 S.D. parents are relecting to Split Sheir Samilies, purchase or vent a seemel residence in N.D. and become Fridents

Some of these parents to pay.

Faition verther than 'nout!

Part of their terming to North Two Hettinger Board Mamber, over residency issues we still Peridency is a fragmire.

It wo win, then the students Would not be permitted to a tend ND 5 chools, cember the S.D. School District of residence agreed to pay tuito to Sel tion 15-4 40. 2-10, S.D. porents would be given the open Possibly Seet rein bursement From Their S. D. Selvol Tresiden and trees their family together Most importable, S.D. dollars would be used to pay for the education of their children is N.D.

(2)

(3)

I unge a do pess on HB 1343. and would be happy to try and onswer your I questions.

> LobyiH #63 02-06-01

Non resident Studente attending elementary House Rill # 1343 Madam Chavemad & members of the committee Hettengex n & Tellene Walch from In here to testify in behalf of Rouse Bill #1343 in asking for a legislature change in regard to n. D. School Century Code 15.80.2-10. The law needs more clarification allowing tuition of students) for out of state students preighboring states who choose to receive their exucation outside of their home school district. There appears to be different interpetations even within the office of AFT. Wouldnich it make more selve for South Bakata residents to be paying for the education of n.O. district there attending school? Thank you for your considerations -