1999 HOUSE FINANCE AND TAXATION
HB 1445

1999 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1445

House Finance and Taxation Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date January 27, 1999

| Tape Number | Side A | Side B | Meter # |
|-----------------------|------------|---------|---------|
| 1 | | X | 16.7 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Committee Clerk Signa | ature Gami | e stein | |

Minutes:

REP. BELTER Opened the hearing.

REP. AL CARLSON, WEST FARGO, Introduced the bill. Submitted a handout regarding the history of transmission line taxation. This bill was introduced for the members of the electrical utilities committee. This bill deals with what we consider to be transmission, and the distribution side of it. This will be the total tax picture of how we tax transmission lines. This gives us a threshold to work with.

<u>REP. BELTER</u> You mentioned some states use the size of the line, capacity of the line, do other states use this method?

REP. CARLSON They all have a threshold, most states would be consistent with this.

REP. BELTER You are saying that 41.6 kilovolts, would be comparable to a certain size?

House Finance and Taxation Committee Bill/Resolution Number Hb 1445 Hearing Date January 25, 1999

<u>REP. CARLSON</u> It is very specific, there are many lines that are much larger than what they actually carry. Rep. Klein can answer specific questions.

REP. MATT KLEIN, DIST. 40, MINOT. Answered the question. There are a number of things we would like to get into place. We know that eighteen or nineteen states have done this. We are looking at a three part task for all of the players involved. A generation tax, a transmission tax and a distribution tax, which would be a two part tax. Both on consumption and on total usage. What we need to do before we can move into that area and start splitting this out, is to make a determination of what is transmission and what is distribution. Voltage on a line, a line is designed and built for a certain volt. You do not change that voltage every month or every day. The regulatory commission has given the state some authority in determining what is transmission and what is distribution. Many of the states have gone using guidelines by function. In our state, where eighty percent of the power we generate goes out of state, and as the seasons change, if you try to do it by function, one week, one particular piece of line may be transmitting out, then maybe a week later, it is flowing the other way. Doing it by function, becomes a very detailed procedure. Our concept was to determine it based upon the design and built structure which is 41.6 kilovolts, which moves power from the generation system out to the distribution substations.

REP. BOB HUETHER, DIST. 27, Testified in support of the bill. This is quite a complicated business. Rep. Carlson and Rep. Klein gave you a good overview of this.

<u>DENNIS BOYD</u>, <u>MDU RESOURCES GROUP</u>, Testified in support of the bill. Stated he had one modest reservation about the bill, concerning the accounting and regulatory aspects. He

Bill/Resolution Number Hb 1445

Hearing Date January 25, 1999

presented an amendment to Section 1, line 8, of the bill. This relieves some of the concerns the Public Service Commission had.

REP. GRANDE Asked what the amendment meant.

<u>DENNIS BOYD</u> There is a grey area, there is a four hundred page document issued by the Federal and Regulatory Commission which leaves a very grey area as to the definition for regulatory purposes of what is and what is not transmission. They established what is called, a seven factor test which deals with the function of a line. There may be some situations for regulatory purposes or accounting purposes that 41.6 may not be appropriate. This amendment is an effort to avoid some potential future conflict in regulatory or accounting procedures. It has nothing to do with taxation.

<u>REP. WINRICH</u> Asked for an example of what happens here.

DENNIS BOYD Gave an example of some possible problems with accounting.

<u>REP. CARLSON</u> Read a letter from Ottertail Power, which gave an explanation of the accounting system.

<u>REP. HEUTHER</u> Stated that fourteen municipal systems also attended the meetings.

With no further testimony, the hearing was closed.

COMMITTEE ACTION 1-27-99, Tape #1, Side B, Meter 36.7

REP. GRANDE Made a motion to adopt the amendments as presented.

REP. MICKELSON Second the motion. MOTION CARRIED BY VOICE VOTE.

REP. WARNER Made a motion for a DO PASS AS AMENDED.

REP. GRANDE Second the motion. MOTION CARRIED

14 Yes 0 No 1 Absent

REP. GRANDE Was given the floor assignment.

FISCAL NOTE

| Return | original and 14 | copies) | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---|---|--|--|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| /Res | olution No.: _ | HB 1445 | | A | mendment to | : | | | |
| Requeste | ed by Legislativ | e Council | | П | ate of Reque | st: <u>1/20/99</u> | | _ | |
| scho deta ade | ool districts. Pl ails to assist in t quately address | ease provide broke budget procetthe fiscal impact | eakdowns, if a ess. In a word at of the measu | ppropriate, si processing for ure. | howing salari ormat, add lin | for state general es and wages, op es or space as no | perating expenseded or attach | ses, equipi a supplen | ment, or other nental sheet to |
| | | 45, if enacted, of electric coope | | | | | ansmission line | s. These o | definitions do not |
| No | fiscal effect. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Sta | te fiscal effect i | n dollar amount | | | | | | 201 02 7 | |
| | | | Biennium | | | Biennium | | 001-03 B | |
| | | General Fund | Other Fu | nds Ger | eral Fund | Other Fund | s General | Fund | Other Funds |
| Reven | ues | | | | | | | | |
| Expen | ditures | | | | | | | | |
| | a. For restb. For the 2c. For the 2 | effect of this me of 1997-99 bier 1999-2001 bien 2001-03 bienniu | nnium:(Indicate in ium:(Indicate in ium:(Indicate in ium:(Indicate in ium: | ate the porti | on of this an | lepartment: nount included nount included | | | |
| 4. Co | | school district 197-99 Bienniu | | | nts: 99-2001 Bie : | | 20 | 01-03 Bie | |
| | Counties | Cities | School Districts | Counties | Cities | School Districts | Counties | Cities | School |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | If additional s | pace is needed emental sheet. | | | - | d Name: | Kathryn L. St | rombeck | , |
| | Date Prenare | d: January 21 | 1.1999 | | - | | 328-3402 | | |

Amendment to HB 1445

Section 1, line 8: delete the comma (,) after chapter 49-22 and add the following: and determination of the demarcation between federal and state jurisdiction over transmission in interstate commerce and local distribution,

Regulatory accounting including the ..

Submitted by: Dennis Boyd Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. 400 North 4th Street Bismarck, ND 58501

| 10 | 1 |
|-------------------------|---|
| | |
| 2 | |
| \propto | ı |
| | |
| $\widetilde{}$ | ١ |
| NY FORI | |
| > | |
| Z | |
| 0 | |
| | |
| Z | - |
| 0 | |
| | |
| ER | |
| Ш | |
| — | |
| I | |
| GHTE | |
| <u>~</u> | |
| _ | |
| 工 | |
| G | |
| = | |
| | |
| Ш | |
| $\overline{\Omega}$ | |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | |
| | |
| OT (| |
| 0 | |
| | |

| Please type or use |
|-----------------------|
| black pen to complete |
| |

| Date | 27-99 |
|------------------|-------|
| Roll call vote # | |

1999 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1445

| HouseHOUSE FINA | NCE & TAX | | | Co | mmitte |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|
| | | | | ldentify or check where appropriate | e |
| ☐ Conference Commi | ittee | | | appropriate | |
| Legislative Council Amendmer | nt Number | | | | |
| Action Taken | Doss | 0 | amended | | |
| Action Taken | 7433 | <u>u</u> , | o arrivatee | | 1. |
| Motion Made By | warne | L | Seconded By Kerry | Gran | ai |
| Representatives | Yes | No | Representatives | Yes | No |
| BELTER | - | | WINRICH | V | |
| RENNERFELDT | L | | | | |
| CLARK | - | | | | |
| FROELICH | L | | | | |
| GRANDE | V | | | | |
| GROSZ | V | | | | |
| HERBEL | V | | | | |
| KROEBER | V | | | | |
| MICKELSON | V | | | | |
| NICHOLAS | A | 2 | | | |
| RENNER | V | | | | |
| SCHMIDT | | | | | |
| WARNER | | | | | |
| WIKENHEISER | | | | | |
| Total (Yes) (No) | | | | | |
| Absent | | | | | |
| Floor Assignment | . Gr | and | <u>.</u> | 50 | |
| If the vote is on an amendmen | nt briefly inc | licate inte | ent: | | |

Module No: HR-19-1466 Carrier: Grande Insert LC: 90666.0201 Title: .0300

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1445: Finance and Taxation Committee (Rep. Belter, Chairman) recommends AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS and when so amended, recommends DO PASS (14 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 1 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1445 was placed on the Sixth order on the calendar.

Page 1, line 8, after "49-22" insert "and regulatory accounting including the determination of the demarcation between federal and state jurisdiction over transmission in interstate commerce and local distribution"

Renumber accordingly

1999 SENATE FINANCE AND TAXATION

HB 1445

1999 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1445 A

Senate Finance and Taxation Committee

☐ Conference Committee

Hearing Date 3-3-99

| Tape Number | Side A | Side B | Meter # |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------|---------|
| HB 1445 | X | | 0-1280 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Committee Clerk Signa | ature Sheila | Wald | |

Minutes:

Rep. Carlson - We are members of the Electric Commission establish last session. We came up with a study of taxation on electric utilities. We came up with redefine transmission lines. What we did, lines that transmit 41.6 kilovolts or more are considered transmission lines. anything above that size would be transmission. As we look and view transmission, we need to establish the level.

Rep. Klein - The long term goal of the committee is to have a taxation at the generation level, and that is in place by the coal severance tax. Have a tax on the transmission system and have a two part tax on the distribution system, which it will be an indebted tax, so that when the system opens that the CO that invested in building these transmission lines, will be able to charge based on capacity to get at some of out of State Companies, that don't have any assets in the State, so that our taxation will be fair to our in State suppliers. Other States have jumped in to this

system, and then found they had lots of problems. They did not look at the tax angle first. What we need to do is look at this tax angel and then talk about opening for consumer choice. It allows us to proceed to the next step, so we can start making our cuts. The outlying States have opened up to consumers and we have been very careful not to do that.

Sen Stenehjem - How much juice is 41.6 K?

Rep Klein - It is a voltage, pressure pushing power down the line.

Sen. Stenehjem - I have a box outside my house? From there to my house, what would be the average coming to my house?

Rep. Klein - 220. Lines that are bigger but has to be a major user. Refinery for instance, it comes in and sent out to different locations.

Sen. Christmann - This has been heavily discussed, and I think everyone involved is aware.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY SEN CHRISTMANN FOR A DO PASS AND SECONDED BY SEN. SCHOBINGER VOTE 7-0-0 CARRIER WILL BE SEN. CHRISTMANN.

| Date: | 3-3-59 | |
|-------------------|--------|--|
| Roll Call Vote =: | 0 | |

1999 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 1445 A

| Senate Senate Finance and Taxa | tion | | | Comm | ittee |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------|-------|
| Subsammittee on | | | | | |
| Subcommittee on | | | | | |
| Conference Committee | | | | | |
| Legislative Council Amendment N | umber | | | | |
| Action Taken | Pu | w | | | |
| Motion Made By Len Chris | True | Secon By | ded Sew. Seh | 'ahea | |
| | | | | | |
| Senators SENATOR URLACHER | Yes | No | Senators | Yes | No |
| SENATOR URLACHER SENATOR CHRISTMANN | | | | + | |
| SENATOR SCHOBINGER | | | | + | |
| SENATOR STENEHJEM | | | | | |
| SENATOR WARDNER | | | | | |
| SENATOR KINNOIN | | | | | |
| SENATOR KROEPLIN | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | \vdash | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | \vdash | | - | |
| | | | | | |
| Total (Yes) | 7 | No _ | 0 | | |
| Absent | 0 | | | | |
| Floor Assignment Dew | . Ch | rists | inand | | |
| If the vote is on an amendment, br | iefly indica | ate intent: | | | |

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410) March 3, 1999 11:31 a.m.

Module No: SR-38-3919 Carrier: Christmann Insert LC: Title:

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1445, as engrossed: Finance and Taxation Committee (Sen. Urlacher, Chairman) recommends DO PASS (7 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). Engrossed HB 1445 was placed on the Fourteenth order on the calendar.

1999 TESTIMONY

HB 1445

Prepared by the North Dakota Legislative Council staff for Representative Klein

January 11, 1999

TRANSMISSION LINE TAXATION HISTORY Rep. Carson

SUMMARY OF TRANSMISSION LINE TAXATION

Taxation of electric transmission lines under current law can be separated into three categories:

- 1. Transmission lines owned and operated by investor-owned electric utility companies are subject to ad valorem taxation. Assessments are made by the State Board of Equalization, and transmission lines are subject to property tax rates in taxing districts in which the lines are located, based upon the valuation established by the State Board of Equalization.
- 2. a. Transmission lines of a cooperative with a carrying capacity of less than 230 kilovolts or which do not carry power from an electrical generating unit with a capacity of 100,000 kilowatts or more are considered part of the personal property of the cooperative not subject to ad valorem taxes. Cooperatives in this category pay a two percent gross receipts tax that is in lieu of taxes on personal property, and transmission lines are considered exempt as personal property.
 - b. Transmission lines owned and operated by a cooperative having one or more electrical generation plant with a capacity of 100,000 kilowatts or more, and which carry power from the plant, are subject to a tax of \$225 per mile, which is in addition to the two percent gross receipts tax

on operation of the generation plant or plants.

1941 Legislation

Before 1941, all transmission lines were subject to assessment by the State Board of Equalization and levy of property taxes by local taxing jurisdictions. In 1941 North Dakota Century Code Chapter 57-33 was enacted to impose a two percent gross receipts tax on electric cooperatives and their transmission lines were classified as personal property, exempting those lines from property taxes.

1965 Legislation

In 1965 North Dakota Century Code Chapter 57-33.1 was created to provide for separate taxation of receipts of cooperative electrical generation plants of 100,000 kilowatts or more. The two percent gross receipts tax rate was continued for these facilities, but a transmission line tax of \$150 per mile was imposed on lines of 230 kilovolts or larger, with the entire proceeds of the transmission line tax to be deposited in the county general fund of the county in which line is located.

1977 Legislation

An interim Legislative Council study recommended 1977 legislation that was enacted to increase the transmission line tax on these transmission lines owned by cooperatives from \$150 to the present rate of \$225 per mile.