

- 1 HB 1150
- 2 Testimony in Opposition
- 3 Chairman Heinert and members of the House Education committee, my name is Dr.
- 4 Aimee Copas, and I am the Executive Director for the North Dakota Council of
- 5 Educational Leaders representing school leaders across North Dakota.
- 6 Our opposition has nothing to do with the tremendous and unending respect that
- 7 NDCEL holds for all our individuals who serve our country. Our opposition is purely
- 8 from the standpoint of our position of protecting the TFFR fund and activities that are
- 9 in the best interest of ensuring the fund continues a pathway toward being fully funded
- and one of those pathways as has been recommended continually by the SIB board and
- those hired by our state to work as investors is to resist exemptions to those who are to
- be in the fund.
- 13 TFFR is a very healthy fund, large in part to smart decisions made by the legislature
- regarding infusions of dollars about 10 and 12 years ago and that each session as
- exemptions are requested (regardless of how seemly harmless they might be) they have
- been denied. As a result of those fiscally sound decisions by the legislature, TFFR is very
- 17 healthy, especially as you consider it next to the other defined benefit plan in the state –
- 18 PERS which is under tremendous scrutiny this session. Furthermore, this was vetted by
- 19 the TFFR board, and they felt that exceptions are not congruent with their fiduciary duty
- 20 to the fund. The time to even consider these types of changes would be more appropriate
- 21 when the fund is 100% funded, not when it is still climbing back to fully funded status.



- 1 We would ask that this body recommend and continue to carry forth the tradition of
- 2 decisions that are in the best interest of the fund. It has been stated that once you open
- 3 the door to exemptions it is much like a crack in the Hoover Damn if one drop of water
- 4 gets through the crack, it is hard to stop future leaks.