Sixty-fifth Legislative Assembly of North Dakota

HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 3026

Introduced by

Representatives Devlin, Delzer, Kempenich, Vigesaa, Weisz

Senators Klein, J. Lee

- A concurrent resolution requesting the Legislative Management to consider studying the
 membership and state supervision of the state's occupational and professional licensing boards
 in order to retain antitrust law immunity.

 WHEREAS, in the February 2015 case "North Carolina State Board of Dental Examiners v.

 FTC", the United States Supreme Court held if a controlling number of state regulatory board
 members are market participants, the board must be actively supervised by the state to be
- 7 immune from antitrust law; and
- 8 **WHEREAS**, market participants hold a majority membership in the dozens of North Dakota occupational and professional licensing boards; and
- WHEREAS, in October 2015, the Federal Trade Commission released guidance regarding
 the level of state supervision required for state regulatory boards to receive immunity from
 antitrust claims; and
- WHEREAS, multiple states have taken executive and legislative action to minimize the risk
 of regulatory boards being subject to antitrust laws; and
- WHEREAS, North Dakota seeks to minimize the risk of its occupational and professional
 licensing boards being subject to antitrust laws;
- NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF NORTH DAKOTA, THE SENATE CONCURRING THEREIN:
- That the Legislative Management consider studying the membership and state supervision of the state's occupational and professional licensing boards in order to retain antitrust law immunity; and
- BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Legislative Management report its findings and recommendations, together with any legislation required to implement the recommendations, to the Sixty-sixth Legislative Assembly.