Sixty-fifth Legislative Assembly of North Dakota

SENATE BILL NO. 2169

Introduced by

Senators Hogue, D. Larson

Representatives Brabandt, Klemin

(At the request of the Commission on Uniform State Laws)

- 1 A BILL for an Act to create and enact chapter 28-20.3 of the North Dakota Century Code,
- 2 relating to recognition of foreign-country money judgments; and to repeal chapter 28-20.2 of the
- 3 North Dakota Century Code, relating to foreign money judgments.

4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF NORTH DAKOTA:

5 **SECTION 1.** Chapter 28-20.3 of the North Dakota Century Code is created and enacted as

6 follows:

7 <u>28-20.3-01. Definitions.</u>

8 <u>As used in this chapter:</u>

- 9 <u>1.</u> <u>"Foreign country" means a government other than:</u>
- 10 <u>a.</u> <u>The United States;</u>
- 11b.A state, district, commonwealth, territory, or insular possession of the United12States; or
- 13 <u>c.</u> Any other government with regard to which the decision in this state as to
 14 whether to recognize a judgment of that government's courts is initially subject to
- 15 determination under section 1 of article IV of the Constitution of the United
- 16 <u>States.</u>
- 17 <u>2.</u> "Foreign-country judgment" means a judgment of a court of a foreign country.

18 **28-20.3-02. Applicability.**

- <u>Except as otherwise provided in subsection 2, this chapter applies to a foreign-country</u>
 judgment to the extent the judgment:
- 21 <u>a.</u> Grants or denies recovery of a sum of money; and
- 22 b. Under the law of the foreign country where rendered, is final, conclusive and
 23 enforceable.

Sixty-fifth Legislative Assembly

1	<u>2.</u>	<u>This</u>	chapter does not apply to a foreign-country judgment, even if the judgment grants			
2		<u>or d</u>	enies recovery of a sum of money, to the extent the judgment is:			
3		<u>a.</u>	A judgment for taxes;			
4		<u>b.</u>	A fine or other penalty; or			
5		<u>C.</u>	A judgment for divorce, support, or maintenance, or other judgment rendered in			
6			connection with domestic relations.			
7	<u>3.</u>	<u>A pa</u>	arty seeking recognition of a foreign-country judgment has the burden of			
8		<u>esta</u>	blishing that this chapter applies to the foreign-country judgment.			
9	<u>28-2</u>	20.3-03. Standards for recognition of foreign-country judgment.				
10	<u>1.</u>	Exce	ept as otherwise provided in subsections 2 and 3, a court of this state shall			
11		<u>reco</u>	gnize a foreign-country judgment to which this chapter applies.			
12	<u>2.</u>	<u>A co</u>	ourt of this state may not recognize a foreign-country judgment if:			
13		<u>a.</u>	The judgment was rendered under a judicial system that does not provide			
14			impartial tribunals or procedures compatible with the requirements of due			
15			process of law;			
16		<u>b.</u>	The foreign court did not have personal jurisdiction over the defendant; or			
17		<u>C.</u>	The foreign court did not have jurisdiction over the subject matter.			
18	<u>3.</u>	<u>A co</u>	ourt of this state need not recognize a foreign-country judgment if:			
19		<u>a.</u>	The defendant in the proceeding in the foreign court did not receive notice of the			
20			proceeding in sufficient time to enable the defendant to defend;			
21		<u>b.</u>	The judgment was obtained by fraud that deprived the losing party of an			
22			adequate opportunity to present its case;			
23		<u>C.</u>	The judgment or the cause of action on which the judgment is based is repugnant.			
24			to the public policy of this state or of the United States;			
25		<u>d.</u>	The judgment conflicts with another final and conclusive judgment;			
26		<u>e.</u>	The proceeding in the foreign court was contrary to an agreement between the			
27			parties under which the dispute in question was to be determined otherwise than			
28			by proceedings in that foreign court;			
29		<u>f.</u>	In the case of jurisdiction based only on personal service, the foreign court was a			
30			seriously inconvenient forum for the trial of the action;			

Sixty-fifth Legislative Assembly

1		<u>g.</u>	The judgment was rendered in circumstances that raise substantial doubt about		
2			the integrity of the rendering court with respect to the judgment; or		
3		<u>h.</u>	The specific proceeding in the foreign court leading to the judgment was not		
4			compatible with the requirements of due process of law.		
5	<u>4.</u>	<u>A p</u>	arty resisting recognition of a foreign-country judgment has the burden of		
6		<u>esta</u>	ablishing that a ground for nonrecognition stated in subsection 2 or 3 exists.		
7	7 <u>28-20.3-04. Personal jurisdiction.</u>				
8	<u>1.</u>	A foreign-country judgment may not be refused recognition for lack of personal			
9		jurisdiction if:			
10		<u>a.</u>	The defendant was served with process personally in the foreign country;		
11		<u>b.</u>	The defendant voluntarily appeared in the proceeding, other than for the purpose		
12			of protecting property seized or threatened with seizure in the proceeding or of		
13			contesting the jurisdiction of the court over the defendant;		
14		<u>C.</u>	The defendant, before the commencement of the proceeding, had agreed to		
15			submit to the jurisdiction of the foreign court with respect to the subject matter		
16			involved;		
17		<u>d.</u>	The defendant was domiciled in the foreign country when the proceeding was		
18			instituted or was a corporation or other form of business organization that had its		
19			principal place of business in, or was organized under the laws of, the foreign		
20			<u>country;</u>		
21		<u>e.</u>	The defendant had a business office in the foreign country and the proceeding in		
22			the foreign court involved a cause of action arising out of business done by the		
23			defendant through that office in the foreign country; or		
24		<u>f.</u>	The defendant operated a motor vehicle or airplane in the foreign country and the		
25			proceeding involved a cause of action arising out of that operation.		
26	<u>2.</u>	<u>The</u>	e list of bases for personal jurisdiction in subsection 1 is not exclusive. The courts of		
27		<u>this</u>	state may recognize bases of personal jurisdiction other than those listed in		
28		<u>sub</u>	section 1 as sufficient to support a foreign-country judgment.		

Sixty-fifth Legislative Assembly

1	<u>28-2</u>	0.3-05. Procedure for recognition of foreign-country judgment.				
2	<u>1.</u>	If recognition of a foreign-country judgment is sought as an original matter, the issue of				
3		recognition must be raised by filing an action seeking recognition of the				
4		foreign-country judgment.				
5	<u>2.</u>	If recognition of a foreign-country judgment is sought in a pending action, the issue of				
6		recognition may be raised by counterclaim, cross-claim, or affirmative defense.				
7	28-20.3-06. Effect of recognition of foreign-country judgment.					
8	If the court in a proceeding under section 28-20.3-05 finds the foreign-country judgment is					
9	entitled to recognition under this chapter then, to the extent the foreign-country judgment grants					
10	or denies recovery of a sum of money, the foreign-country judgment is:					
11	<u>1.</u>	Conclusive between the parties to the same extent as the judgment of a sister state				
12		entitled to full faith and credit in this state would be conclusive; and				
13	<u>2.</u>	Enforceable in the same manner and to the same extent as a judgment rendered in				
14		this state.				
15	28-20.3-07. Stay of proceedings pending appeal of foreign-country judgment.					
16	If a party establishes that an appeal from a foreign-country judgment is pending or will be					
17	taken, the court may stay any proceedings with regard to the foreign-country judgment until the					
18	appeal is concluded, the time for appeal expires, or the appellant has had sufficient time to					
19	prosecute the appeal and has failed to do so.					
20	28-20.3-08. Statute of limitations.					
21	An action to recognize a foreign-country judgment must be commenced within the earlier of					
22	the time during which the foreign-country judgment is effective in the foreign country or fifteen					
23	years from the date the foreign-country judgment became effective in the foreign country.					
24	28-20.3-09. Uniformity of interpretation.					
25	In applying and construing this uniform chapter, consideration must be given to the need to					
26	promote uniformity of the law with respect to its subject matter among states that enact it.					
27	SEC	TION 2. REPEAL. Chapter 28-20.2 of the North Dakota Century Code is repealed.				