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1 A BILL for an Act to provide for limitations on the use of unmanned aircraft for surveillance; and
to provide for a legislative management study.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF NORTH DAKOTA:

SECTION 1.

Definitions.

As used in this Act:

1. "Law enforcement agency" means a person authorized by law, or funded by the state,
to investigate or prosecute offenses against the state.

2. "Unmanned aircraft" means any aircraft that is operated without the possibility of direct
human intervention within or on the aircraft.

3. "Unmanned aircraft system" means an unmanned aircraft and associated elements,
including communication links and the components that control the unmanned aircraft,
which are required for the pilot in command to operate safely and efficiently in state
airspace.

SECTION 2.

Prohibited use of unmanned aircraft system.

1. Except as provided in section 3 of this Act, a law enforcement agency may not use an
unmanned aircraft for surveillance of a person within the state or for the surveillance of
personal or business property located within the borders of the state to gather
evidence or other information pertaining to criminal conduct, or conduct in violation of
a statute or regulation except to the extent authorized in a warrant issued by a court
which satisfies the requirements of the Constitution of North Dakota.
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2. Warrants to conduct surveillance with an unmanned aircraft may only be issued in a
criminal investigation.

SECTION 3.

Exceptions.

This Act does not prohibit any use of an unmanned aircraft for surveillance during the
course of:

1. Patrol of national borders. The use of an unmanned aircraft to patrol within twenty-five
miles [40.23 kilometers] of a national border, for purposes of policing that border to
prevent or deter the illegal entry of any person, illegal substance, or contraband.

2. Exigent circumstances. The use of an unmanned aircraft by a law enforcement agency
is permitted when exigent circumstances exist. For the purposes of this subsection,
exigent circumstances exist when a law enforcement agency possesses reasonable
suspicion that absent swift preventative action, there is an imminent danger to life or
bodily harm.

3. An environmental or weather-related catastrophe. The use of an unmanned aircraft by
state authorities to preserve public safety, protect property, survey environmental
damage to determine if a state of emergency should be declared, or to conduct
surveillance for the assessment and evaluation of environmental or weather-related
damage, erosion, flood, or contamination.

4. Testing, training, education, and research of unmanned aircraft systems.

SECTION 4.

Prohibited surveillance.

This Act prohibits any use of an unmanned aircraft for:

1. Use of force. A state agency may not authorize the use, including grant a permit to
use, of an unmanned aircraft while armed with any lethal or nonlethal weapons,
including firearms, pepper spray, bean bag guns, mace, and sound-based weapons.

2. Domestic use in private surveillance. A state agency may not authorize the use,
including granting a permit to use, of an unmanned aircraft to permit any private
person to conduct surveillance on any other private person without the express,
informed consent of that other person or the owner of any real property on which that
other private person is present.
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3. Surveillance of the exercise of constitutional rights. A state agency may not authorize the use, including granting a permit to use, of an unmanned aircraft for the purpose of the surveillance of persons engaged in the lawful exercise of the constitutional right of freedom of speech and freedom of assembly.

SECTION 5.  
Remedies for violation.  
Any aggrieved party may obtain in a civil action all appropriate relief to prevent or remedy a violation of this Act.

SECTION 6.  
Prohibitions on the conduct of unmanned aircraft surveillance and the use of acquired surveillance as evidence.

1. Evidence obtained or collected in violation of this Act is not admissible as evidence in a criminal prosecution, including use during trial, at sentencing, before a grand jury, as rebuttal evidence, or during administrative hearings in any court of law in the state. The prohibition on the use or admissibility of evidence in this subsection does not apply to evidence of other unrelated criminal activity that is observed during a duly warranted flight.

2. Any imaging or other forms of observational data gathered by unmanned aircraft surveillance from or concerning the parties or places subjected to surveillance in violation of this Act may not be preserved by law enforcement or government agencies for any purpose unless required by a court of law.

3. Any imaging or any other forms of data lawfully obtained under this Act for which there is not a reasonable and articulable suspicion that those images or data contain evidence of a crime, or are relevant to an ongoing investigation or trial, may not be retained for more than ninety days, unless the retention is attendant to general agency guidelines regarding the retention of evidence in criminal cases. In those cases, the imaging or other data may not be distributed to agencies, entities, or individuals if the distribution is not necessary to meet general agency guidelines regarding the retention of evidence in criminal cases.

4. An unmanned aircraft may not conduct any type of surveillance that would violate state laws regarding the interception of aural communications, electronic messaging,
personal location data, or acquire video or still images of a person within a home or
place without first obtaining all required warrants in compliance with state statutes
relevant to the interception of such voice communications, digital communications,
physical surveillance data, or to capture the still or video images of a person or interior
or a place for which a search warrant is prerequisite to its lawful search.

SECTION 7.

Documentation of unmanned aircraft surveillance.

1. The person authorized to conduct the surveillance under this Act shall document all
use of unmanned aircraft for surveillance. The person shall document all surveillance
flights as to duration, flight path, and mission objectives, including the names of place
or persons authorized to be subject to surveillance.

2. The flight information must be certified as accurate and complete by the supervising
person authorized by a court to conduct the surveillance.

3. The flight information required under this section must be retained for five years.

4. Except for the operational capabilities of the unmanned aircraft system and other
operational information strictly related to the technical conduct and physical security of
the surveillance operation, a person accused of a crime that includes evidence
gathered through the use of unmanned aircraft system surveillance may obtain all
information relating to the person acquired in the course of the surveillance through
subpoena and discovery proceedings available in criminal proceedings.

5. Other persons who have an interest in obtaining the documentation required by this
section may obtain that documentation pursuant to chapter 44-04.

6. The documentation required by this section applies to all uses of unmanned aircraft
systems, including testing, training, education, and research.

SECTION 8.

Application to federal law.

This Act may not be construed to limit, constrain, or adversely impact testing and operations
of a state test range under the FAA Modernization and Reform Act of 2012 [Pub. L. 112-95;
126 Stat. 11].

SECTION 9. LEGISLATIVE MANAGEMENT STUDY - UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM

SURVEILLANCE. During the 2013-14 interim, the legislative management shall consider
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1. studying the implications of using unmanned aircraft systems for surveillance purposes. The
2. legislative management shall report its findings and recommendations, together with any
3. legislation necessary to implement those recommendations, to the sixty-fourth legislative
4. assembly.